



Impact of postprandial glycaemia on health: Role in body weight control and diabetes prevention¹

E. E. Blaak¹, J.-M. Antoine², D. Benton³, I. Björck⁴, L. Bozzetto⁵, F. Brouns¹, M. Diamant⁶, L. Dye⁷, T. Hulshof⁸, J. J. Holst⁹, D. J. Lampert⁷, M. Laville¹⁰, C. L. Lawton⁷, A. Meheust¹¹, A. Nilsson⁴, S. Normand¹⁰, A. A. Rivellese⁵, S. Theis¹², S. S. Torekov⁹ and S. Vinoy¹³

1. Dept. of Human Biology, Maastricht U., 2. Danone Research Ctr., 3. Dept. of Psychology, U. of Swansea, 4. Division of Applied Nutrition and Food Chemistry, Dept. of Food Technology, Lund U., 5. Dept. of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, U. Federico II, 6. Diabetes Center, Dept. of Internal Medicine, VU Medical Center, 7. Inst. of Psychological Sciences, U. of Leeds, 8. Kellogg Europe, 9. Dept. of Biomedical Sciences and Novo Nordisk Foundation Centre of Basic Metabolic Research, U. of Copenhagen, 10. Centre de Recherche en Nutrition Humaine, Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud, 11. International Life Sciences Institute, European Branch, 12. Südzucker/BENEOL Group, 13. Kraft Foods, R&D Centre

Background:

- Postprandial glycaemia (PPG) & insulinaemia/lipidaemia are implicated in the aetiology of metabolic chronic diseases
- Obesity & related health complications → reduced quality of life, massive healthcare costs & premature death
- Simple cost-effective strategies for prevention and management are needed
- The ILSI Europe Dietary Carbohydrates Task Force Expert Group (EG) established the state-of-the-science regarding these relationships.

Methods:

The EG aimed to investigate: 1. Relationship between PPG and body weight & the aetiology of diabetes; 2. Whether physiological effects found in impaired glucose metabolism (e.g. people with diabetes) also occur in 'healthy' individuals. A summary of the literature was prepared.

Results: Study findings were summarised in tabular format and analysed by the EG. The Table below presents an example using selected studies that show an effect on *insulin sensitivity*.

Studies	Design, duration	Subjects Age BMI	Intervention	Postprandial glucose and insulin profiles	Effect on body weight	Effect on fasting glucose and insulinemia	Effect on insulin sensitivity (method used)	Effect on insulin secretion (method used)	Effect on hormone / mechanism
Studies with data on postprandial kinetics									
Brynes 2003	R, CO 24d with 24d wash-out	17 M, overweight with at least one cardiac risk factor Age 45 BMI = 29	HGI/LGI/HF/ Sucrose	Large difference on glucose and insulin profile	Decrease in LGI	No	Increase in LGI and HF (However, for HF, increase of NEFA and TG) (by HOMA PP)		
Maki 2007	R, P 12wk	40 M, 27 W Age 60 BMI = 32	Beta glucan vs. control	No difference at D0		No	Increase with BG	Decrease in BG (Test meal)	
Weickert 2006	R 3d	17 healthy/overweight Age 53 BMI = 30	Cereal fibers	No change	NA	No	13% increase (by clamp)		
Weickert 2005	CO 7d	17 healthy/overweight Age 53 BMI = 30	Cereal fibers	Decrease AUC glycemia and earlier insulin secretion	NA	No			No change in ghrelin Effect through colonic fermentation
Studies without data on postprandial kinetics									
Pereira 2002	R, CO 6wk with 6-9wk wash-out	11 hyperinsulinemic Age 42 BMI = 30	Whole grain	Not reported	No	No effect on fasting glycemia Decrease of fasting insulin with LGI	Slightly increase insulin sensitivity (by clamp)		
Reiser 1979	R, CO 6wk with 4 wk wash-out	19 healthy subjects 10 M / 9 W Age 42	30% Energy intake = starch or sucrose	Not reported		Increase fasting glycemia and insulinemia after sucrose	Decrease after sucrose (by OGTT)		
Frost 1998	R, P (3wk)	28 W healthy Age 35 BMI = 23-25	LGI /HGI	Not reported		No	Increase after LGI (Adipocyte insulin sensitivity)		
Garcia 2007	R, CO 6wk with 6 wk wash out	11 IGT (9 W) Age 56 BMI=30	Arabinoxylan concentrate	Not reported	Minus 1.9 to 3.7 kg	No	decrease glucose and insulin AUC (test meal)		No change in ghrelin Decrease in lipolysis, SCFA
Robertson 2005	R, CO 4wk with 4wk wash out	10 healthy (6W) Age 49 BMI = 23	Resistant Starch 30g/D	Not reported	No	No	Increase in insulin sensitivity after clamp test, but no change after test meal	No change (HOMA B)	Increase in ghrelin

BMI, Body Mass Index; CO, cross-over; d, day; HF, High Fat; HGI, High GI; IGT, Impaired Glucose Tolerance; LGI, Low GI; M, men; P, parallel; R, randomised; W, women; wk, week

Conclusions:

Body weight control

Studies suggest that the types of foods which elicit a lower postprandial glucose response may be useful as part of an overall strategy for combating obesity, but the evidence for a role of PPG *per se* in these effects is considerably weaker. Short-term studies with different GI products suggest a role in appetite regulation, but the link to PPG is unclear. Suggested underlying mechanisms involve hormonal and metabolic changes. There is currently little data on the chronic effects of reducing PPG on body weight.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus

There is mechanistic evidence from animal and human studies that elevated blood glucose and an altered pattern of PPG, together with an elevated insulin concentration, lead to transitory deleterious metabolic and hormonal state in healthy subjects. These phenomena are exacerbated in impaired glucose tolerant subjects. Thus, there exists evidence that reducing blood glucose and insulin responses is beneficial to prevent type 2 diabetes genesis. The relationship between postprandial glucose, diabetes and CVD is a continuum, indicating that early intervention to normalize postprandial glucose (and related indicators of hyperglycaemia and hyperlipidaemia) may be required.

It is evident that more randomised controlled dietary intervention trials inducing effective low-glucose response diets are necessary to be able to draw more definitive conclusions on the role of postprandial glycaemia in relation to health.

Reference:

1. Blaak EE et al. Impact of Postprandial Glycaemia on Health and Prevention of Disease. (2012) *Obesity Reviews*. 13(10):923-84. Available by open-access: <http://www.ilsis.org/Europe/Documents/Postprandial%20Glycaemia.pdf>.